



**DOCKET NO. 1399.1001**

**DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.132**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Gyu-Yeong SON et al.

Serial No.: 09/210,472

Confirmation No. 5435

Filed: December 14, 1998

Group Art Unit: 2615

Examiner: THAI Q. TRAN

For: **RESERVED PROGRAM RECORD SETTING METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
A PROGRAM PREVIEW**

**Declaration Under Rule 132**

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RECEIVED**

AUG 12 2004

Dear Sir:

Technology Center 2600

I, Chang-Gil LEE, of the Korean Patent firm of YOONES & CO, assisted the Applicants in the above-identified patent application and declare as follows:

1. On October 2, 1997, an invention disclosure form was forwarded to our office from the applicants through the assignee of the invention, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. The instructions from the assignee were to ensure that a corresponding application was filed within three (3) months of receipt of the invention disclosure form, which is the normal time for filing an application for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
2. On October 3, 1997, a docket number was assigned to the invention disclosure form, and was assigned to the firm docket to be worked on in the normal course of business.
3. In the normal course of business, I work on applications in the order of receipt (i.e., chronologically), as modified by more immediate due dates for other applications being prepared, and other actions from the Korean Industrial Property Office or foreign patent offices requiring a more immediate response.
4. On October 18, 1997, I reviewed the invention disclosure form to outline the application. The date of review was as soon as practicable given my normal workload and the chronological docketing system I use.
5. On October 22, 1997, I contacted the applicants to set up a meeting to discuss the invention.

6. On October 25, 1997, a meeting was set up in order to discuss the invention. The date and time of the meeting was as soon as practicable given my availability and the availability of the applicants during normal working hours.
7. On October 27, 1997, a draft of an application was begun and was completed on November 1, 1997. The drafting of the application was completed as soon as practicable in light of my workload during normal working hours.
8. The draft was revised and completed for submission and evaluation by the applicants on November 3, 1997. The revision completion of the application was completed as soon as practicable in light of my workload during normal working hours.
9. On November 25, 1997, after reviewing the application, the applicants provided their comments to us.
10. On November 28, 1997, we completed an application for execution, as well as an accompanying instruction letter and documents for execution. The completion of the revised application was completed as soon as practicable in light of my workload during normal working hours. A copy of the completed application was sent to the applicants for final review and execution on November 28, 1997.
11. On December 10, 1997, I received the executed version of the application in the Korean Industrial Property Office. On December 13, 1997, I filed Korean Patent Application No. 1997-68542 in the Korean Industrial Property Office. The filing of the application was completed as soon as practicable in light of my workload during normal working hours and in light of the need to prepare appropriate transmittal documents for filing of the application.
12. The December 13, 1997 date is within the three month filing window described in item 1 above.
13. Between the period of October 2, 1997 and December 13, 1997, and at least between the period of October 8, 1997 and December 13, 1997, I worked on completing the application in cooperation with the applicants during our regular working hours without interruption except to the extent that my other work could not be otherwise delayed or was docketed for completion ahead of the instant application.
14. Between the period of October 8, 1997 and December 13, 1997, I worked on 7 number of total invention disclosure forms, including the invention disclosure form for the instant application, to prepare original applications. These invention disclosure forms took, on average, 19 number of hours to prepare for filing within the three month filing window and are worked on within my normal 44 hour work week.
15. During the preparation of the instant application, I worked on the following total 7 applications:
  - a. on October 2, 1997, I received invention disclosure forms docketed SEP 479, 480, 481, 482, 485, 486, 488, with the instant application resulting

from docket SEP 482.

- b. on October 3, 1997, I began working on the applications related to invention disclosure forms SEP 479, SEP 480 and SEP 481 in order of the docket and which delayed the preparation of the instant application until October 18, 2004.;
  - c. On November 29, 1997, the application related to invention disclosure form SEP 481 was filed in the Korean Intellectual Property Office;
  - d. On December 24, 1997, the applications related to invention disclosure forms SEP 479 and SEP 480 were filed in the Korean Intellectual Property Office;
  - e. On December 29, 1997, the application related to invention disclosure form SEP 488 were filed in the Korean Intellectual Property Office;
  - f. On December 2, 1997 and December 22, 1997, we discontinued our work on the applications related to invention disclosure forms SEP 485 and 486, respectively;
16. Enclosed are copies of the first draft of the application sent to the inventors on November 3, 1997, the first draft of the application having comments from the inventors and which was sent to the undersigned on November 25, 1997, and the second draft of application sent to the inventor on November 28, 1997.

The Declarant further states that the above statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are punishable by fine and/or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that any such willful false statement may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent resulting therefrom.

By: Chang-Gil Lee

Date: August 10, 2004

Chang-Gil LEE